

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/527,475		ANDREAS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kimberly A. Williams		2625	

All Participants:

(1) Kimberly A. Williams.

(2) Brett Valiquet.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 (e)

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Tohyama et al. (US 6763135)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner wanted to more clearly understand the distinction between the amended claim and the prior art.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:20 p.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)